

**From:** [Board Of Supervisors](#)  
**To:** [Agenda Management Support](#)  
**Subject:** #11 comment\_Steinbruner, B  
**Date:** Monday, March 10, 2025 4:51:14 PM

---

---

**From:** Becky Steinbruner <ki6tkb@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 7, 2025 10:34 PM  
**To:** Board Of Supervisors <boardofsupervisors@santacruzcountyca.gov>  
**Cc:** Becky Steinbruner <ki6tkb@yahoo.com>  
**Subject:** Public Comment on Measure Q Advisory Board Nominees and \$50,000 Consultant (Board Agenda Item #11 on 3/10/2025 )

\*\*\*\***CAUTION:**This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.\*\*\*\*

Dear Supervisors,

The list of nominees for the Measure Q Advisory Board is interesting. I do not feel it is right to include analysts working for the Supervisors on the list, no matter how qualified they may be. I also do not feel it is right to have a Board member of Friends of Santa Cruz County Parks on the nominee list because it lacks transparency.

Will OR3 and Parks also divulge the name of the consultant for which the County will pay \$50,000 to develop the Five Year Plan for Measure Q funded project priorities? Your Board did not publicly discuss that issue that was included in the January 28 staff report.

Who is the consultant? In the end, their Plan will be what guides the Advisory Board, because OR3 and Parks Dept. staff will recommend that what the consultant recommends gets approved. Otherwise, why pay \$50,000?

Sincerely,  
Becky Steinbruner