

**From:** [Board Of Supervisors](#)  
**To:** [Agenda Management Support](#)  
**Subject:** item 6.1 comment\_North, P  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 25, 2025 12:24:09 PM

---

**From:** Pam <genbox1@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 25, 2025 12:18 PM  
**To:** Board Of Supervisors <boardofsupervisors@santacruzcountyca.gov>  
**Subject:** Cannabis - consumption

\*\*\*\***CAUTION:**This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.\*\*\*\*

All,

I tried to call in today but didn't get through in time to voice my comment.

I want to thank Supervisor DeSerpa for sharing your heartfelt story and opposition and Supervisor Martinez for your opposition and specifically questioning the timeline - as in what's the rush?

I don't see the "need" for yet another glorified substance which ultimately equals profit. Alcohol and cigarette use has "shown the benefit" not in a good way for either. To think cannabis could or would be different, seems naive.

I am disappointed in those who supported. If cannabis is so "important" then the focus could have been on hemp, a useful non-THC product which would be inline with Santa Cruz culture.

Having Santa Cruz be a "destination" for cannabis, even in the current status, isn't something I support.

I'm wondering if you would support an alcohol or tobacco convention here?

Respectfully,  
Pam North  
Live Oak resident