

From: [Board Of Supervisors](#)
To: [Jesseka Rodriguez](#)
Subject: FW: citizen concern and request re Ventures
Date: Thursday, December 19, 2024 10:50:51 AM
Attachments: [Ventures CCAH ROI.pdf](#)

From: Rachael Sotos [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2024 7:29 PM
To: Board Of Supervisors <boardofsupervisors@santacruzcountycalifornia.gov>
Subject: citizen concern and request re Ventures

******CAUTION:**This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email.****

My name is Rachael Sotos. As a citizen and taxpayer in Santa Cruz, I request that the BOS provide assurance that local non-profit Ventures is not engaging in unethical practices that set a dangerous precedent for everyone, not only the migrant populations whom they claim to serve. Ventures is scheduled to receive \$500,000 for their Semilites program, which provides banking services and financial incentives for vaccination to local migrant populations. They are the top recipient of the recently allocated funding according to the CORE criteria used by the County Human Services Department, under the authority of the City of Santa Cruz as well as the County and the regional authority Housing for Health.

It looks to me that the financial benefits do more for the banks connected to Ventures by ensuring their balance sheets with the collateral of new clients than their promised pittances will do for future generations. I am particularly troubled because the parents to be served by Ventures must sign a consent form so that information regarding their babies' wellness visits and vaccination status is shared. Allow me to share the last sentence, item #6, of the consent form, available on the Semilites website, from the Central California Alliance for Health. This is a quote:

“Ventures does not have to follow privacy laws. This means that Ventures could share the information above with others. Ventures has told us they will not share your baby’s information.”

I find this to be an extraordinarily disturbing formulation to find on a legal document.

The financial incentives that are offered are in conjunction with the California 529 college fund for newborns, but I do not think this incentive package is being offered to everyone. Why? Perhaps because there is now widespread awareness about the recklessness of the childhood vaccine schedule, which now recommends mRNA injections beginning at six months of age?

Given the hard utilitarian turn in bioethics in recent years, you will find reputable sources that tell you that providing financial incentives for vaccination is not a violation of the Nuremberg code, but given the fact that the mRNA platform clearly is experimental and was introduced under emergency use authorization, I hope you will take a more critical view.

The Biden Administration just extended the liability shield for the covid jab until 2029. There is talk that Fauci will be given a blanket pardon. The FDA just cancelled their mrna rsv for infants experiment as it makes babies sicker. Thousands of doctors and scientists around the world are calling for a complete cessation of the mrna platform.

Because the migrant community is the most vulnerable among us, I think it is necessary to be vigilant. I note that the Central California Alliance for Health provides digital IDs, which many fear is the center of turnkey totalitarianism. That Ventures is tied to banking interests brings this concern to the fore. I want also to mention that the FDA has now officially waived consent requirements for any clinical trial--medical, psychological or behavioral--that poses only "minimal risk." Could some of the "others" with whom Ventures might share information conduct such trials? From what I discern from government websites such as grants.gov and clinical trials.gov, basically unlimited sums of money are available to every imaginable organization, institution or agency --ngo, educational, governmental, medical-- if they can just come up with a trial, any trial, that helps institute data collection on specific populations.

No doubt it will seem to some of you eccentric to even consider that there may be another agenda behind public health measures. I hope you are all familiar with what the US government did with Syphilis in Guatemala. For this historical reason, I ask that the County BOS provide assurances. I do not claim to have knowledge of the situation. I am expressing concern and a request for investigation.

In the name of the Virgin de Guadalupe, who, in 1993, appeared on an oak tree in Watsonville to a mother praying for her children, I pray you find the courage to think critically about the criteria we need to manage our affairs wisely.

Sincerely,

Rachael Sotos

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.